407

The Preparation and Crystal Structure of *trans*-Bis(sulphinylnitrilo)bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum(II): *trans*-[Pt(NSO)₂(PPh₃)₂]

Richard Short,^a Michael B. Hursthouse,^a Thomas G. Purcell,^b and J. Derek Woollins*^b

^a Department of Chemistry, Queen Mary College, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, U.K.

^b Department of Chemistry, Imperial College of Science and Technology, South Kensington, London SW7 2AY, U.K.

Reaction of (η^2 -ethene)bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum(0) with Hg(NSO)₂ yields *trans*-bis(sulphinylnitrilo)-bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum-(μ); the NSO⁻ ligands are σ -bonded.

Currently there are relatively few examples of transition metal complexes containing sulphur-nitrogen ligands¹ although it is clear that reactive S-N fragments may be stabilised by metal centres. We are involved in the synthesis of compounds with two goals. Firstly, the preparation of materials which have interesting solid state properties² and secondly the synthesis of complexes containing small NS ligands which are isoelectronic with more well known groups. We have recently reported³ on the preparation of cis-Pt(NSNSiMe₃)₂(PPh₃)₂ which contains the -NSNSiMe₃ ligand (isoelectronic with SO₂). Herein we report that reaction of Hg(NSO)₂ with (η^2 -ethene)bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum(0) yields trans-Pt(NSO)₂(PPh₃)₂. There has only previously been one authenticated report⁴ of the preparation of an NSO- complex (for rhodium) and this compound was prepared using CsNSO₂ whereas the method reported here, equation (1), is rational.

$$Pt(C_2H_4)(PPh_3)_2 + Hg(NSO)_2 \rightarrow Pt(NSO)_2(PPh_3)_2 + Hg + C_2H_4 \quad (1)$$

In a typical experiment $Pt(C_2H_4)(PPh_3)_2$ (0.15 mmol) was added to a solution of $Hg(NSO)_2$ (0.15 mmol) in 10 cm³ of tetrahydrofuran (THF). After stirring for 2 hours the reaction



Figure 1. The molecular structure of *trans*-Pt(NSO)₂(PPh₃)₂. Selected bond distances and angles are: Pt(1)-P(1) 2.328(3), Pt(1)-N(1) 1.994(6), N(1)-S(1) 1.472(7), S(1)-O(1) 1.455(8) Å, P(1)-Pt-N(1) 92.0(3), Pt-N(1)-S(1) 133.3(4), N(1)-S(1)-O(1) 120.7(5)°.

mixture was reduced to dryness and extracted with 10 cm³ of benzene. Concentration of this solution and addition of hexane gave the product (0.6 mmol). Yellow crystals suitable for X-ray analysis[†] were obtained from benzene-hexane. Satisfactory C, H, and N microanalyses were obtained whilst ³¹P{¹H} n.m.r. spectroscopy (C₆D₆) gave a 1:4:1 triplet, δ 19.03 p.p.m., ¹J(Pt-P) 2854 Hz. I.r. v_{as.}(NSO) 1218(s), v_{sym.}(NSO) 1099(s), δ (NSO) 590(w) cm⁻¹.

The structure of the molecule is shown in Figure 1. As expected from the Pt–P coupling constant the complex is square planar with Pt–P distances which are appropriate for Pt^{II}. The anionic NSO⁻ ligands are σ -bonded to the platinum

but from the n.m.r. studies it is not possible to distinguish N or O co-ordination. The crystallographic studies, however suggest that co-ordination *via* nitrogen occurs. The S–N and S–O distances do not differ significantly from each other, indicate double bonds, and are slightly shorter than those observed in Pt(NSNSiMe₃)₂(PPh₃)₂. A surprising feature of the reaction is the formation of the *trans* isomer. Reaction of *cis*-PtCl₂(PR₃)₂ with Hg(NSO)₂ proceeds cleanly by ³¹P{¹H} n.m.r. spectroscopy (for PR₃ = PPh₃, δ 8.12 p.p.m., ¹J(Pt–P) 3257 Hz) to give what we assume is the *cis* isomer but, to date, we have been unable to isolate pure material.

The NSO⁻ ligand is isoelectronic with SO₂; however the co-ordination mode reported here is not observed for SO₂; doubtless numerous examples of NSO⁻ complexes are available and transmetallation reactions using $Hg(NSO)_2$ would appear to be a facile route.

We are grateful to Johnson Matthey for loans of precious metals and the S.E.R.C. for the provision of the diffractometer and computing facilities.

Received, 4th December 1986; Com. 1731

References

- 1 P. F. Kelly and J. D. Woollins, Polyhedron, 1986, 5, 607.
- 2 R. Jones, P. F. Kelly, C. P. Warrens, D. J. Williams, and J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1986, 711.
- 3 N. P. C. Walker, M. B. Hursthouse, C. P. Warrens, and J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 227.
- 4 H. W. Roesky, K. K. Panday, B. Krebs, and M. Dartmann, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 2271.

[†] Crystal data: $C_{36}H_{30}N_2O_2P_2S_2Pt$, M = 843.78, monoclinic, a =9.427(1), b = 20.123(3), c = 9.746(19) Å, $\beta = 67.84(1)^\circ$, U = 1712 Å³, space group $P2_1/n$, Z = 2, $D_c = 1.636$ g cm⁻³, Mo- K_{α} radiation, $\lambda =$ 0.71069 Å, μ (Mo- K_{α}) = 41.5 cm⁻¹, F(000) = 832. R = 0.0317, $R_{w} =$ 0.0264 for 1961 observed $[I > 1.5\sigma(I)]$ diffractometer reflections out of 3011 measured $(h \ 0 - 11, k \ 0 - 23, l \ 0 \pm 11, 1.5 \le 8 \le 25^\circ)$ and corrected for absorption empirically. The μ_{iso} values for N and O for the structure as presented are 0.053 and 0.122; for the alternative possibility of Pt–O bonding the μ_{iso} values for N and O were 0.076 and 0.088 with the R value being 0.0322 ($R_w = 0.0272$). Although numerically the μ_{iso} values tend to indicate a preference for the alternative arrangement we are prepared to accept that proposed because: (a) the N μ_{iso} is closer to that of Pt, to which it is bonded, (b) the oxygen atom is quite likely to be undergoing significant thermal motion, as indeed the sulphur atom appears to be, and (c) the R values for the proposed arrangement are lower. Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.